



\$~86

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1673/2025**

PRAVEEN MASTER

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Mr.
Rishav Sharma, Mr.
Kshitij Goel and Mr.
Harsh Bansiwala,
Advocates.

versus

**STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI
& ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari,
ASC for the State along
with Mr. Alok Sharma,
Advocate.
Mr. Harshit Jain and Mr.
Rahul Rohtagi, Advocate
for R-2 (through VC).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

23.05.2025

CRL.M.A. 15629/2025 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1673/2025 & CRL.M.A. 15630/2025 (Stay)

3. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 236/2025 dated 01.04.2025, registered at Police Station Burari, for the offences under Sections 376D/509/354B/380/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') including the proceedings emanating therefrom.

4. The FIR was registered on 01.04.2025, subsequent to the complaint filed by the complainant under Section 156(3) of the



Code of Criminal Procedure ('CrPC'). The complainant had mentioned certain incidents that took place on 09.11.2022 and 10.11.2022 to demonstrate the conduct of the petitioner.

5. It is pointed out that the complainant, prior to giving the complaint which ultimately led to registration of the present FIR, had also given multiple complaints to the police authorities in regard to the dispute over the use of common lane in the colony, where the petitioner and prosecutrix reside.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the police has filed a report before the learned Trial Court specifically stating that the inquiry has revealed that the parties are embroiled in a dispute with respect to a common way in the colony.

7. The report was filed by the police also referring to the Counsellors report of the Delhi Commission for Women where the prosecutrix had stated that no incident of molestation had ever occurred.

8. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the report being filed by the police, the FIR was registered without any reason.

9. Issue notice.

10. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.

11. Mr. Harshit Jain, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the Respondent No.2.

12. Let the status report/reply be filed by the respondents before the next date of hearing.

13. List on 19.08.2025.

14. Subject to the petitioner joining and co-operating with the investigation, the State is directed not to take any coercive steps

W.P.(CRL) 1673/2025

Page 2 of 3



against the petitioner till the next date of hearing. The petitioner is also directed not to contact the prosecutrix in any manner whatsoever.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MAY 23, 2025

DV