



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12204/2022**

SRINIVASA NAIDU KARAKAPetitioner

Through: Ms. Pallavi Awasthi and Ms.
Vaibhavi Mittal, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Vikrant N Goyal, CGSC
with Mr. Piyush Wadhwa, Mr. Harsh Kumar
Singh and Mr. Kunal Dixit, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

ORDER

% **06.11.2025**

1. The sole ground on which the petitioner has been denied his claim to pro-rata pension, which is also the ground urged by Mr. Goyal, learned CGSC for the respondents, is predicated on Clause 2(ii) of Ministry of Defence Policy Letter dated 19 February 1987.

2. The contention of the respondents, as reflected by Annexure P-17 to the writ petition, is that pro-rata pension was available only to persons who were permitted to prematurely retire from service, whereas the petitioner retired consequent on completing the engagement period of ten years.

3. Ms. Pallavi Awasthi, learned Counsel for the petitioner, seeks some time to examine the issue.



4. Accordingly, re-notify on 21 November 2025 in the supplementary list.

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J

NOVEMBER 6, 2025/AR