



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7562/2024, CM APPL. 31483/2024**

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Dr. Divya Swamy, Standing Counsel
with Mr. Yagyawalkya Singh, Mr.
Shubham Mishra and Mr. Yash Jain,
Advocates

versus

VINOD KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajiv Agarwal, Ms. Meghna De,
Ms. L. Gangmei, Ms. Surbhi,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

16.07.2024

%

1. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner submits that admittedly, the claim of the Respondent/Workman was for a period of work between 1989-1995, however, the Claim Petition was filed in the year 2018. Learned Counsel thus submits that there is a substantial delay by the workman in approaching the Court.
2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent/Workman submits that at the time the Industrial Dispute was raised, the Reference Order clearly sets out the dates of the Claim Petition and the Reference Order is not the subject matter of the challenge under Industrial Dispute.
3. However, without prejudice to this contention, learned Counsel seeks and is granted time to file Counter Affidavit.



4. Let the needful be done within a period of four weeks.
- 4.1 Rejoinder, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.
5. List for hearing on 12.12.2024.
6. In the meantime, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the parties seek and are granted time to file a written synopsis. Let a synopsis be filed, not exceeding three pages each, along with the compilation of judgments, if any, relied upon at least one week before the next date of hearing.
- 6.1 All judgments sought to be relied upon by the learned Counsel for the parties shall be filed with an index which also sets out the relevant paragraph numbers and the proposition of law that it sets forth.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

JULY 16, 2024
g.joshi

Click here to check corrigendum, if any