



\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12183/2022**

ASHISH KUMAR THAKUR & ORS.Petitioners

Through: **Mr.Amrendra Pratap Singh, Advocate**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents

Through: **Mr.Vedansh Anand, proxy counsel for
Mr.Neeraj, Advocate for R-1**

CORAM:

SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **16.03.2023**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

As per office report, respondent nos. 5, 6 & 8 have been duly served by ordinary process. However despite service, there is no appearance on behalf of respondent nos. 5, 6 & 8.

As regards respondent no.7, it has been reported that "person has vacated the address".

Counsel for the petitioner states that respondent no.7 has taken VRS and he has obtained the present address of respondent no.7 from the official record.

Mr.Vedansh, Advocate states that he is appearing only on behalf of respondent no.1 and not for respondent nos. 2 to 4 as no authorisation has been received from respondent nos. 2 to 4. Whereas vide order dated 23.08.2022, it has been recorded that Mr.Neeraj Sahaj, Advocate accepts



2023-DHC-11821-DB

notice for respondent nos. 1 to 4.

In this view of the matter, on petitioner taking steps and filing latest and correct address of respondent no.7 within two weeks, let fresh notices be issued to respondent nos. 2 to 4 & 7 by ordinary process, speed post and courier, returnable on 19.07.2023. It be indicated in the notice that counter affidavit, if any, be filed before the next date.

In the meantime, let counter affidavit, if any, be filed on behalf of served respondents within four weeks with advance copy to counsel for the petitioner, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, within four weeks thereafter.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 16, 2023/sk