



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CUSAA 113/2022

THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Abhinav Kalia, Adv.

versus

RAHUL CHAUHAN

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shams Tabrez, Adv.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) BHAWANI SHARMA

ORDER

% **27.05.2025**

File is placed before this Court vide order dated 11.03.2025 for completion of pleadings.

As per office note, neither the proof of cost of Rs. 2,000/- to be deposited with Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee nor the rejoinder has been filed.

Learned counsel for appellant submits that rejoinder has been filed. The same is not on record. Learned counsel is directed to check up with the registry and have the same placed on record before the matter is placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that copy of the said rejoinder has already been supplied to the opposite side.

It is submitted that in view of the fact that delay in filing the rejoinder was due to discrepancy at the administrative level with respect to the appointment of Standing Counsel, which was beyond the control of the appellant and in view of the fact that the rejoinder has been filed within a period of 7 days, the cost as imposed vide order dated 19.05.2025 be waived off. Heard.



As reported, pursuant to order dated 19.05.2025, rejoinder has been filed on 26.05.2025 which is lying under objection due to non-payment of the cost, the cost as imposed vide order dated 19.05.2025 stands waived off in the interest of justice.

Matter is coming up for hearing before the Hon'ble Court on 28.05.2025. Be placed accordingly.

**BHAWANI SHARMA
JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

MAY 27, 2025/yo