



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CUSAA 113/2022**

THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMSAppellant

Through: Mr Satish Kumar, Sr Standing
Counsel.

versus

RAHUL CHAUHANRespondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MS JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **22.08.2022**

[Physical Court hearing/ Hybrid hearing (as per request)]

CM Appls.36320-21/2022

1. Allowed, subject to the appellant filing legible copies of annexures, at least three days before the next date of hearing.

CUSAA 113/2022 & CM Appl.36319/2022*[Application filed on behalf of the appellant seeking interim stay]*

2. Mr Satish Kumar, who appears on behalf of the appellant/revenue, says that the appellant is aggrieved by the impugned order dated 15.06.2022 passed by the Customs Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal [in short "Tribunal"].

3. It appears that the appeal filed by the respondent was allowed by the Tribunal, on the ground that the adjudication order is non-speaking.

3.1 The Tribunal has proceeded to direct the return of goods, or in alternative, permit the export of the subject goods.

CUSAA 113/2022

1/2



4. Issue notice to the respondent *via* all permissible modes, including e-mail.
5. In the meanwhile, there shall be a stay on the operation of the impugned order.
6. List the matter on 5.12.2022.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

AUGUST 22, 2022/pmc

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

CUSAA 113/2022

2/2