



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 141/2025 and CM APPL. 30666/2025**

VIPIN KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Ashish Dholakia, Sr. Advocate with
associate counsel (appearance not given)

versus

RANJAN KUMAR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. B.K. sood, Mr. Nitish Ahuja,
Mr. Manik Sood, Advocates for respondent No.1
Ms. Sweety Singh, Ms. Divya and Ms. Diksha Joshi,
Advocates for respondent No.2/State
Mr. Ashok Popli, Advocate for respondent
Nos.3(a) to 3(d)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

30.04.2026

1. Learned Senior Counsel for the appellant, while referring to Section 230 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925, submits that, having renunciated the executorship and to which effect his statement was recorded in the Court, respondent No. 1 could not have subsequently sought recall of the order and thereby seek his transposition as a petitioner. It is contended that the Probate Court erred in overlooking not only the scope of Section 230, but also Section 141 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925.
2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 seeks time to respond to the aforesaid submissions along with the relevant case law(s). Respondent No.2/State shall also assist the Court on the next date of hearing.
3. List on 13.07.2026.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 30, 2026/na