



\$~10 & 11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 119/2019**

DELHI STATE INDUSTRIAL AND INFRASTRUCTURE
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Ruchika Rathi, Adv. with Mr.
Raja Chaudhary, Adv.

versus

MAHA SINGH & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S.
Akhtar and Ms. Musarrat Benazer
Hashmi, Advs. for R-2/UOI.

+ **LA.APP. 19/2020 & CM APPL. 6394/2020 and CM APPL.
6395/2020**

UNION OF INDIA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with
Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar
and Ms. Musarrat Benazer Hashmi,
Advs.

versus

MAHA SINGH & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Ruchika Rathi, Adv. with Mr.
Raja Chaudhary, Adv. for R-
2/DSIDC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

16.10.2024

%

1. Registry is directed to bookmark the Trial Court Record in LA.APP. 119/2019.
2. Learned Counsel for the Appellant states that the decretal amount as



was directed by this Court in terms of orders dated 26.07.2019 and 11.10.2019 in LA.APP. 119/2019 has been deposited.

2.1 Learned Standing Counsel for the Appellant in LA.APP. 19/2020 submits that there is no requirement for them to separately deposit the decretal amount since the Appellant in LA.APP. 119/2019 has already deposited the entire decretal amount.

3. None appears for Respondent No.1.

4. Learned Counsel for the parties submit that the Impugned Orders, both dated 04.09.2018, have been passed based on the ***Satender Singh v. Union of India, LAC No.107A/2012*** which is the subject matter of challenge in LA APP. 189/2015 and his presently listed in the category of Regulars.

5. Accordingly, list these matters along with LA APP. 189/2015 in Regulars.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

OCTOBER 16, 2024/SA

Click here to check corrigendum, if any