



\$~31

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL. REV. P. 354/2020

SAGAR YADAV

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ajit Kumar Gola and Mr.
Jitender Panchal, Advocates.

versus

STATE (GNCTD)

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP for
State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

(VIA VIDEO CONFERENCING)

ORDER

%

18.11.2020

CRL. M.A. 15836/2020 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL. REV. P. 354/2020 & CRL. M.A. 15835/2020

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 397/401 Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner challenging the order dated 26.10.2020 passed by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge-04, North West District, Rohini Courts, Delhi wherein the trial court has found sufficient material on record to proceed against the present petitioner under Section 12 of the POCSO Act and Sections 354D /341/34 IPC.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of Section 12 of the POCSO Act “sexual intent” is required”. He, however, seeks some time to address arguments on the explanation of Section 11 of the POCSO Act and also in regard that whether any “sexual intent” is



required under Section 354D IPC.

3. At his request, re-notify on 21.01.2021.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

NOVEMBER 18, 2020

‘dc’