



§~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 5686/2023

RAVI VIMAL NEVETIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prashant Kenjale, Adv.
(Through VC)

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Proxy counsel for CBI (appearance
not given)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

20.05.2026

%

CRL.M.A. 15132/2026 *(To permit the petitioner to fly abroad)*

1. By virtue of the present application, the petitioner seeks permission to fly abroad.
2. Learned proxy counsel appearing for the CBI submits that since the name of the petitioner has been removed from the no-fly list.
3. Similarly, learned proxy counsel for the ED also submits that his name has also not been arrayed in the LOC.
4. In view thereof, both learned proxy counsels for the CBI and the ED submit that neither the CBI nor the ED have any objection if the present application is allowed.
5. Learned counsel appearing for the SFIO submits that the SFIO also has no objection if the present application is allowed.



6. As such, the present application is allowed and the present applicant is granted permission to fly abroad after taking all appropriate steps in accordance with law.

7. As such, the present application is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 20, 2026/Ab