



\$~93

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 793/2025**

H M MEENA AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms Latika Choudhury, Adv.

versus

SH SUDHAKAR, SECRETARY DAMB

.....Respondent

Through: Mrs Avnish Ahlawat SC GNCTD
with Mr N K Singh, Ms/. Laavayna
Kaushik, Ms. Aliza Alam, Mr.
Mohnish Sehrawat, Mr. Amitoj
Chadha, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

19.05.2025

CM APPL. 30529/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 793/2025

1. This petition alleges wilful disobedience of judgment dated 14th November 2024 passed in W.P (C) no. 13332/2018, wherein the following orders were passed:



13. It bears repetition to state that in the present case, Petitioners were promoted to the post of Assistant Secretary-I through a regular process of promotion after satisfying all eligibility criteria and on recommendations of DPCs and have continued on sanctioned posts over a considerable period of time. The trajectory of the career path shows that they were performing jobs indistinguishable from the regular employees and significantly Respondents made no effort to revert them to the feeder cadres understanding the method by which they were promoted. Petitioners have illustrated through Office Orders that several other persons appointed as Assistant Secretary-I were regularised though their initial appointments were on *ad hoc* basis and this position is uncontroverted.

14. In view of the aforesaid, this writ petition is allowed directing the Respondents to regularise the service of the Petitioners from the respective dates of their appointments on the post of Assistant Secretary-I on *ad hoc* basis, save and except, in the case of Petitioner No. 1 who has expired during the pendency of this petition and the legal heirs have chosen not to

contest the petition. All consequential benefits flowing out of regularisation shall be granted to the Petitioners.

2. Issue notice.

3. Notice is accepted by counsel for respondent. She states, on instructions, that an LPA has been filed in the said matter though she does not have particulars of the same, as yet.

4. It is accordingly, directed that if by the next date of hearing, no adverse orders are passed by the Division Bench in this matter, the respondent shall comply with the directions passed in judgment dated 14th November 2024 by the next date.

5. It is clarified that if compliance is not made prior to the next date,



concerned officer shall remain present before the Court on the next date of hearing.

6. Accordingly, re-notify for '*compliance*' on 16th October 2025.
7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 19, 2025/sm/bp