



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 117/2018**

DARSHAN SINGH

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Abhishek Malhotra, Sr.
Adv. with Ms. Sonal
Chhablani, Mr. Yashwardhan
Singh, Mr. Vishal Singh and
Ms. Sejal Tayal, Advs.
(8141980864).

versus

BALDEV SINGH

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Aman Nandrajog and
Mr. Nikhil Avana, Advs.
Mr Satya Ranjan Swain,
SPC for UOI (through VC)

CORAM:

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

27.01.2025

%

The regular stenographer is on leave today.

The counsel for the defendant states that he had applied for the certified copies of TM Application no. 02035573 as per order dated 30.04.2024, whereof he has been provided with incomplete record. He thus submits that he may be permitted to summon the official record of the said application from the office of the Registrar of Trademarks as per the liberty granted on 30.04.2024. The counsel for the plaintiff states that her expert witness D.R. Handa is present in the court and he thus be examined. I don't concur with the submission of the counsel for the plaintiff. Vide order dated 30.04.2024, liberty to file the certified copies of the aforesaid TM Application was granted to cut short the DE, but now that purpose could not be achieved since incomplete record was provided to the defendant, I am of the view that the defendant



deserves a chance to summon that particular record. Once the DE in respect thereof completes, only then the PE regarding the expert witness should commence.

Accordingly the aforesaid expert witness D. R. Handa is discharged for today.

List for DE on **25.03.2025**.

The defendant shall summon the record of the aforesaid TM Application subject to filing of PF and deposit of diet money as per rules.

I.A.No.30359/2024 (u/S 151 CPC for directions with respect to the passport details of the plaintiff)

The defendant does not wish to file the rejoinder.

The pleadings are complete.

The counsel for the plaintiff states that all the earlier directions in context of the relief sought in this application were heard and considered by the Hon'ble Court and thus this application be placed before the Hon'ble Court for adjudication since that might require few clarifications/interpretations of those earlier directions. The counsel for the defendant states that he has no objection if this application may thus be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Accordingly, list this application before the Hon'ble Court on **21.02.2025**.

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 27, 2025/pu

Click here to check corrigendum, if any