



\$~86 to 96

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

86

+ W.P.(C) 10454/2023

ARVIND KUMAR YADAV AND OTHERSPetitioners

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERSRespondents

87

+ W.P.(C) 9603/2024

PRIYANKA CHOUDHARYPetitioner

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERSRespondents

88

+ W.P.(C) 9605/2024

PRAVEEN KUMARPetitioner

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

89

+ W.P.(C) 9610/2024

PRADEEPPetitioner

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.Respondents

90

+ W.P.(C) 9612/2024

NEERAJPetitioner

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERSRespondents

91

+ W.P.(C) 9615/2024



	SANJAY MAMORIA	Petitioner
	versus	
	THE GNCT OF DELHI AND OTHERS	Respondents
92		
+	W.P.(C) 9617/2024	
	IBADUR REHMAN	Petitioner
	versus	
	GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERS	Respondents
93		
+	W.P.(C) 9618/2024	
	DHARAMVIR	Petitioner
	versus	
	THE GNCT OF DELHI AND ORS.	Respondents
94		
+	W.P.(C) 9619/2024	
	MOHAN SINGH	Petitioner
	versus	
	THE GNCT OF DELHI AND OTHERS	Respondents
95		
+	W.P.(C) 9624/2024	
	AMIT KUMAR	Petitioner
	versus	
	THE GNCT OF DELHI AND OTHERS	Respondents
96		
+	W.P.(C) 9625/2024	
	VINOD SINGH	Petitioner
	versus	
	GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND OTHERS	Respondents



Appearances: Mr. S.K. Srivastava, Ms. Shubhi Srivastava, Mr. Prince Kumar and Ms. Kriti Sharma, Advocates for petitioner in Item nos. 86 to 96.
Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, SC with Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, Ms. Aliza Alam, Mr. Amitoj Chadha and Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat, Advocates for GNCTD in Item nos. 86 to 96.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **08.05.2025**

CM APPL. 28054/2025 and W.P.(C) 10454/2023
CM APPL. 28060/2025 and W.P.(C) 9603/2024
CM APPL. 28053/2025 and W.P.(C) 9605/2024
CM APPL. 28065/2025 and W.P.(C) 9610/2024
CM APPL. 28059/2025 and W.P.(C) 9612/2024
CM APPL. 28057/2025 and W.P.(C) 9615/2024
CM APPL. 28058/2025 and W.P.(C) 9617/2024
CM APPL. 28061/2025 and W.P.(C) 9618/2024
CM APPL. 28064/2025 and W.P.(C) 9619/2024
CM APPL. 28063/2025 and W.P.(C) 9624/2024
CM APPL. 28056/2025 and W.P.(C) 9625/2024

1. The petitioners in this batch of writ petitions are Diploma-holder Junior Engineers in the respondent No. 2 – Delhi Transco Ltd. They presently hold the post of Assistant Manager (Technical).
2. The grievance in these writ petitions relates to the seniority of the petitioners *vis-à-vis* a degree-holder Junior Engineers, three of whom have been impleaded as respondent Nos. 4 to 6, on a representative basis.
3. The representations made by the petitioners regarding the *inter se* seniority of the diploma holders and degree holders have been rejected by the respondents.



4. The grievance of the petitioners in these applications is that degree-holder Junior Engineers have been sent for a promotional training programme, in anticipation of filling up posts of Manager (Technical), whereas the petitioners have not been sent for similar training. By way of these applications, the petitioners challenge the Office Order dated 23.04.2025, by which the aforesaid degree-holder Assistant Managers have been sent for training, and also seek a direction restraining the respondents from granting promotions to the said officers.

5. The impugned Office Order dated 23.04.2025 contemplates training in two batches: the first from 28.04.2025 to 02.05.2025, and second from 05.05.2025 to 09.05.2025. The training process has, thus, almost concluded.

6. According to the respondents, the officers selected for training have been chosen on the basis of seniority.

7. Although the issue of *inter se* seniority is pending for consideration in the writ petitions, I do not consider it appropriate to stay promotions altogether, at this stage. Suffice it to say that any promotions granted will be subject to the outcome of these writ petitions. Participation in the promotional training programme will not create any special equities in favour of the participants. If any promotions are granted to the position of Manager (Technical), the respondents are directed to inform the promoted officers that their promotions are subject to the outcome of the writ petition.

8. Replies to the applications may be filed within four weeks. If any rejoinders are required to be filed in the writ petition, they may be filed within two weeks thereafter.



9. Learned counsel for the parties are also requested to file written submissions in the writ petitions, with reference to the electronic record of the Court, at least one week before the next date of hearing.
10. List these applications alongwith the writ petitions on the date already fixed, i.e. 29.08.2025.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

MAY 08, 2025/UK/SD/