



\$~11 & 12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1338/2014 & CM APPL. 2784/2014, CM APPL.
10284/2016

BHARAT SANCHAR NIGAM LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rejveer S. Bhatia & Mr. V.
Suresh Kumar, Advs.

versus

**TELECOM REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF
INDIA & ORS.**Respondents

Through: Mr. Arjun Natarajan and Mr. Aayush
Kumar, Advs. for R-1/TRAI
Mr. Manjul Bajpai, Mr. Pradeep
Shukla, Advs. for R-2/COAI

12

+ W.P.(C) 2816/2014 & CM APPL. 5837/2014

MAHANAGAR TELEPHONE NIGAM LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Chandan Kumar and Mr. Vikram
Sharma, Advs.

versus

**TELECOM REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF
INDIA & ORS.**Respondents

Through: Mr. Arjun Natarajan and Mr. Aayush
Kumar, Advs. for R-1/TRAI
Mr. Manjul Bajpai, Mr. Pradeep
Shukla, Advs. for R-2/COAI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL



ORDER

18.08.2025

%

1. The challenge in this petition is the *Second Amendment to the Telecommunication Interconnection (Port Charges) (2nd Amendment) Regulations, 2012*, dated 18th September 2012.
2. The claim put forth by petitioner is that the issue is already *sub judice* before the Apex Court, a fact that is disputed by respondents. As such this Court *vide* order dated 28th February 2025, directed to produce pleadings and documents *qua* the pending proceedings before the Apex Court.
3. Counsel for petitioner states that he has already submitted such documents recently, whereas counsel for respondent states that he shall be doing so within the reasonable period.
4. As such, we permit counsel for respondent to place on record the documents within period of four weeks from today.
5. List on 15th October 2025.
6. Needless to clarify since statement is made that petitioner has already placed the documents on record. Registry is to take appropriate steps to tag the same with the record.
7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

AUGUST 18, 2025/pr/bp