



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6611/2025**

COMPTROLLER AND AUDITOR GENERAL OF INDIA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. S. S. Hooda, Adv.

versus

NAGENDRA LAKHARA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Aanchal Anand, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

%

16.05.2025

CAV 193/2025

1. In view of the appearance of Ms. Aanchal Anand, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents, the caveat stands discharged.

CM APPL. 30077/2025 (Exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 6611/2025 & CM APPL. 30076/2025

3. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner, challenging the Order dated 22.04.2025 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as, 'Tribunal') in O.A. No. 4652/2024, titled ***Nagendra Lakhara & Ors. v. Comptroller General of India***, and O.A. No. 4846/2024 titled ***Jaivesh & Ors. v. Comptroller General of India***,



whereby the said O.As. filed by the respondents herein were allowed.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner informs that the examination is scheduled to commence on 20.05.2025. He submits that the settled past practice has been interfered with by the learned Tribunal.

5. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the respondents, who appears on the advance notice, submits that the petitioner is acting contrary to the Recruitment Rules, and therefore, the learned Tribunal has rightly interfered with the Circular dated 13.11.2024 issued by the petitioner.

6. We have considered the submissions made by the learned counsels for the parties and have also perused the Impugned Order.

7. Issue notice.

8. Notice is accepted by Ms. Aanchal Anand, the learned counsel for the respondents.

9. Let the counter affidavit be filed within a period of four weeks from today. Rejoinder thereto be, if any, be filed within a period of three weeks thereafter.

10. Presently, we do not find any reason to stay the operation of the Impugned Order insofar as the respondents are concerned. However, the benefit of the same shall not be extended by the petitioner to others who have not approached the learned Tribunal or made a representation with regard to their entitlement to sit in the examination.



11. List on 14th October, 2025.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

MAY 16, 2025

p/my/DG

Click here to check corrigendum, if any