



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA(COMM) 297/2025
SMT. SHALINI JAIN & ANR.Appellants
Through: Mr. Kuldeep Rai,
Mr.Alok Mishra, Adv.
Alongwith AR Amandeep
Singh.

versus

M/S TAJ PRESSRespondent
Through: Mr.Vikas Chhabra, Ms.Gauri
Batra, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

%

16.05.2025

CM APPL. 30100/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exemptions.

CM APPL. 30101/2025

2. This is an application seeking condonation of 29 days delay in filing the appeal.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, the delay is condoned.

4. The application is disposed of.

CM APPL. 30102/2025

5. This is an application seeking condonation of 9 days delay in re-filing the appeal.

6. For the reasons stated in the application, the delay is condoned.

7. The application is disposed of.

RFA(COMM) 297/2025 & CM APPL. 30099/2025

8. This appeal has been filed challenging the Judgment and Decree

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 21/05/2025 at 13:57:03



dated 04.01.2025 passed by District Judge, Commercial Court-09, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, decreeing the suit filed by the respondent herein in the following terms:

“34. In view of the aforesaid discussions, the suit is decreed in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants for the sum of Rs.3,86,742/- along with interest @ 8% per annum on the said amount from the date of filing of suit i.e. 29.10.2021. The defendants are jointly and severally liable to pay the said amount. Further, plaintiff is also held entitled to recover pendentelite and future interest @ 8% per annum on the aforesaid decretal amount till its realization. The plaintiff is also held entitled to costs of the suit.”

9. The learned counsel for the appellant submits that the respondent was to return the Computer-to-Plates (CTP) to the appellant. He submits that the value of the same would be around Rs.2 lacs.
10. Issue notice.
11. Notice is accepted by Mr.Vikas Chhabra, the learned counsel on behalf of the respondent. He submits that there was no agreement for the return of the CTP to the appellant. Even otherwise, the appellant should at least pay the balance amount of Rs.1,86,742/- to the respondent to show its *bonafide*.
12. Having considered the submissions made, we direct that on the appellant paying a sum of Rs.1,86,742/- along with interest @8% per annum from the date of filing of the suit, that is, 29.10.2021, till the date of payment, to the respondent within a period of four weeks from today, there shall be a stay on the execution of the

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 21/05/2025 at 13:57:03



impugned decree till further orders.

13. Let the Trial Court record be requisitioned before the next date of hearing.
14. List on 12th November, 2025.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

MAY 16, 2025
RN/ik

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 21/05/2025 at 13:57:03