



\$~2

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ CRL.A. 846/2019 and CRL.M.A. 17179/2020, CRL.M.(BAIL)  
8262/2020, CRL.M.(BAIL) 351/2022 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1253/2026  
HARSHAD ALAM @ ARSHAD ALAM .....Appellant

Through: Ms. Udit Bali, Mr. K.S. Choudhary  
and Ms. Reenaya Grover, Advocates.

versus

STATE .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA**

% **ORDER**  
**29.07.2026**

1. Both sides are represented.
2. As per order dated 06.07.2026, the Registry was directed to verify whether the entire fine has been remitted.
3. The Registry reports that the entire amount has been deposited.
4. The learned prosecutor submits that in compliance of the order dated 29.05.2026, the SHO, Special Cell, Saket Police



Station and the DCP are present in person before the Court. During the course of the arguments, he has handed over the status report filed on behalf of the State which is seen prepared by the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Southern Range (SR), Special Cell, Delhi. In the status report, it is stated that though earnest efforts were made to arrest the appellant/accused, the police was unable to find him.

5. I do not find the status report satisfactory as it is silent as to why they were unable to appear before this Court and submit the reasons as to why the order was not complied with or at least instruct the learned prosecutor as to the reasons for their failure to comply with the order. However, the learned prosecutor has fervently appealed to this Court to accept the apology of the officers concerned and requested this Court to take a lenient view.

6. Both the officers are directed to file separate affidavits explaining the reasons as to why they were unable to comply with the orders of this Court.



7. List on 06.08.2026.

8. Both the officers shall remain present on the next date of hearing.

**CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J**

**JULY 29, 2026**

kd