



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 535/2023**
ITC LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Kunal Kher, Adv.

versus

MS GENERAL MARKETING CO. & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Kumar Bhaskar, Adv. For D1 to
4 (M: 9582115697)

CORAM:

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **13.11.2024**

1. As per learned counsel for defendant no. 1 to 4, he has already deposited the cost in compliance of the previous order.
2. Written statement has already been filed on behalf of defendant no. 1 to 4 with affidavit of admission / denial.
3. Replication with affidavit of admission / denial is lying under objections. As per learned counsel for plaintiff, the replication has been filed beyond the period of 30 days but before the extendable period of 45 days and therefore, same is lying under objections and same may be condoned. Learned counsel for defendant no. 1 to 4 not disputed this fact and not opposed if the delay is condoned in filing the replication subject to appropriate costs.
4. In view of the facts and circumstances, replication filed on behalf of the plaintiff be taken on record in case there is only objection of delay but subject to clearance of any other objections.



5. Further, plaintiff is directed to deposit a cost of Rs. 2,000/- with the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee.
6. Put up for completion of process of filing of Joint Document Schedule in terms of **Rule 7A of Chapter VII of The Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018** physically by plaintiff after getting it signed from defendants, admission / denial of documents and marking of Exhibits in accordance with law on 17.03.2025.
7. Documents, if e-filed be filed physically by parties so as to mark the Exhibits.

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

NOVEMBER 12, 2024/sms

Click here to check corrigendum, if any