



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10983/2021

MODICARE LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rohit Jain, Mr. Aniket D. Agrawal
and Mr. Samarth Chaudhuri, Advocates

versus

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar, SSC with Ms.
Monica Benjamin and Ms. Easha
Kadian, JSCs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

% **19.05.2025**

1. The above captioned petition was substantially heard on 29.04.2025. One of the issues relates to whether there was material available with the Revenue, which supported the conclusions recorded in the reasons to believe for reopening the assessment.
2. In view of the above, we had directed the learned counsel for the Revenue to provide the reference of the material found in respect each of the allegations, along with the summary of what the material disclosed.
3. The learned counsel for the Revenue submits that he has not received any instructions and requires further time in this regard.
4. At his request, list on 27.05.2025.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TEJAS KARIA, J

MAY 19, 2025

M

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)