



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 483/2025**

HONASA CONSUMER LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Peeyoosh Kalra, Ms. V. Mohini, Mr. Udayvir Rana and Ms. Ananya Mehan, Advs.

versus

CLOUD WELLNESS PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.Defendants

Through: Mr. Harshit S. Tolia, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Rahul Khandelwal, Advs. for defendants.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

29.05.2025

1. Learned (senior) counsel for the parties submits that though meetings *inter se* the representatives of the parties took place yesterday as well as today, however, though they were agreeable on the broad terms of settlement they were unable to arrive at a conclusive conclusion. In affect learned (senior) counsel for the parties seek, and are granted, three days' time for coming up with the broad modalities on the next date of hearing before the Vacation Bench.

2. Without prejudice, this Court finds that though the defendants are agreeable to change the overall packaging, however, since the issue *qua* the timeline and existing stocks remained open, the final decision is yet to be taken.



3. Though the learned counsel for the plaintiff orally submits that it would be in the interest of justice if the defendants are called upon to file their latest books of accounts till today, however, in the considered opinion of this Court, since the parties are exploring settlement, it would be in the interest of justice if the aforesaid prayer is made on the next date of hearing.

4. Renotify on 04.06.2025 before the Vacation Bench.

I.A. 12474/2025 (Stay)

5. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that rejoinder to the reply filed by the defendants to the present application is stated to be filed, however, the same is not on record. Let the same be brought on record subject to removal of the office objection(s).

6. Renotify on 04.06.2025 before the Vacation Bench.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 29, 2025/bh