



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 539/2020**

KULDEEP SINGH SENGHER

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Manish Vashisht, Senior
Advocate with Mr. Vedansh Vashisht
& Ms. Aishwarya Senger, Advocates

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Mr. Ravi Sharma, SPP with Mr.
Ishann Bhardwaj, Mr. Swapnil
Chaudhary, Mr. Shivam Mishra &
Ms. Madhulika Roy Sharma,
Advocates
Mr. Mehmood Pracha, Ms. Sanawar
& Ms. Nujhat Naseem for the
complainant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

% **13.08.2025**

CRL. M. (BAIL) 2050/2024 SUSPENSION OF SENTENCE

1. Arguments partly addressed.
2. During the course of submissions, Mr. Mehmood Pracha, learned counsel appearing for the complainant, submits that the previous application filed on behalf of the present applicant was dismissed vide order dated 23.06.2024. He submits that the Supreme Court has time and again deprecated the practice of filing the successive bail applications after short intervals without there being any change of circumstance. He further states



that the bail applications should be dealt by the same Roster Bench, which dismissed the previous bail application of the applicant to prevent any abuse of the process of the court, and in this regard, he places reliance on the judgment in the case of ***State of Maharashtra Vs. Captain Buddhikota Subha Rao, 1989 Supp (2) Supreme Court Cases 605.***

3. Mr. Vashisht, learned Senior Counsel, appearing for the appellant, states that there are subsequent judgments governing this issue, and therefore, seeks time to make submissions with regard to the same.

4. Renotify on 21.08.2025.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J.

AUGUST 13, 2025

RM/sk