



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2313/2021

ANIQUE ABDULLAH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gautam Singhal, Mr. Manish
Dewan and Mr. Rajat Chaudhary,
Advocates

versus

MAHENOOR @ EIRUM & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

17.03.2026

CRL.M.A. 8053/2026

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Application is disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 8052 & 8054/2026

3. Application under Section 403 read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner to say that in Para 30 of the judgment dated 14.05.2025, it has been written that the Petitioner was having an agricultural income of Rs.15,000/- per month when it was in fact, Rs.15,000/- per annum and for stay of the Order dated 14.05.2025, respectively.
4. It is further submitted that in view of this correction, the amount of maintenance be also reduced.

Submissions heard and record perused.

5. There is a clerical error in mentioning the agricultural income as



Rs.15,000/- per month instead of per annum in Para 30 of the impugned judgment, which is hereby rectified. However, there is no basis for reduction of the maintenance, which has been granted @ 20,000/- per month to Respondent No. 1.

6. It is hereby clarified that this has been granted only as an interim maintenance during the pendency of DV Petition and is subject to the final decision in the DV Petition.

7. The Applications are accordingly disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

MARCH 17, 2026

N