



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 461/2022, CCP(O) 12/2023, I.A. 12472/2022, I.A. 3779/2023, I.A. 5797/2023 & I.A. 36006/2024

M/S RENU PROPCON PVT. LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Harsh Sethi, Mr. Raghav Luthra
and Mr. Anant Nigam, Advocates

versus

MRS. MANJU BHATTACHARYA & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **26.11.2024**

1. This matter has been put up on an office note pointing out that the sum/amount in which bailable warrants are to be issued is not mentioned in the order dated 06.11.2024. The order dated 06.11.2024 is accordingly modified to be read as under:

“\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 461/2022, CCP(O) 12/2023, I.A. 12472/2022, I.A. 3779/2023, I.A. 5797/2023 & I.A. 36006/2024

M/S RENU PROPCON PVT. LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Harsh Sethi, Mr. Anant
Nigam and Mr. Raghav
Luthra, Advocates

versus

MRS. MANJU BHATTACHARYA & ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Mr.



Shashank Khurana, Mr.
Deepak Verma and Mr.
Abheesht Sharma, Advocates
for D-1 & 2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

%

06.11.2024

CCP(O) 12/2023

1. Learned counsel for defendant nos. 1 & 2 states that plaintiff and defendant nos. 1 & 2 have arrived at a fresh settlement and request that the matter be referred to the same mediator at Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre.
2. Accordingly, list before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre on 13.11.2024.
3. None appears on behalf of defendant no. 4.
4. Learned counsel for defendant nos. 1 and 2 states that defendant no. 2 is the daughter of defendant no. 1 and sister of defendant no. 4. He states that defendant no. 4 is the son of defendant no. 1. He states that he, however, does not have any instructions to represent defendant no. 4.
5. The matter was passed over to enable the counsel to take instructions from defendant nos. 1 and 2 as regards the appearance of defendant no. 4. At pass over, learned counsel states that defendant no. 2 is not taking his calls.
6. Defendant no. 4 is directed to remain present before the Court. In view of the deliberate non-presence, upon steps being taken by the plaintiff issue bailable warrants to defendant no. 4 **in the sum of Rs. 25,000/-** to be executed through concerned SHO.
7. List on 24.01.2025.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

NOVEMBER 6, 2024/PU/rhc"



2. With the aforesaid modification highlighted in bold, the office note stands addressed.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J
NOVEMBER 26, 2024/msh/sk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any