



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 461/2022**

M/S RENU PROPCON PVT. LTD.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Aakanksha Kaul, Mr. Harsh Sethi, Mr. Anant Nigam, Mr. Raghav Luthra and Mr. Nitin Bajaj, Advocates

versus

MRS. MANJU BHATTACHARYA & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, Mr. Deepak Verma and Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocates for D-1 & D-2
Mr. Neeraj K. Gupta, Advocate for D-3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% 09.08.2024

I.A. 36006/2024

1. Issue notice.
2. Learned counsel for the defendant nos. 1 and 2 accepts notice and states that the contention of the plaintiff that there was a mutual agreement between the parties to execute a sale deed in favour of the plaintiff for a consideration of Rs. 1.95 crores each is correct. He, however, states defendant nos. 1 and 2 would like to negotiate with the plaintiff for a higher bargain. He seeks one week's time to take instructions from defendant nos. 1 and 2.
3. Upon steps being taken by the plaintiff, issue notice to defendant no. 4 through electronic mode. In addition, through counsel for the defendant no. 4.



4. List on 27.08.2024, the date already fixed.

AUGUST 9, 2024/hp/sk

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J
Click here to check corrigendum, if any