



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 465/2025**

HARPEET NARULA AND OTHERSPlaintiff

Through: Ms. Neha Jain, Ms. Anjali
Sisodia & Mr. Shantanu Jain,
Advs.

versus

SURMAYA FASHION LLPDefendant

Through: Mr. Vartul Vishnoi, Adv. for
D-2.
Mr. Rajnish Kumar Jha &
Mr. Dolli Rani, Advs. for
D-3 (through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL), SUDHIR KUMAR
SIROHI (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **03.12.2025**

Compliance-affidavit u/o XXXIX Rule 3 has been filed by the plaintiff. Same be taken on record.

Written statement filed by defendant no. 2 is under office objections, its objections be removed as per law, thereafter replication be filed in accordance with law.

The defendant no. 1 & 3 were served on 02.08.2025 (*Refer: order dated 03.09.2025*). Ld. counsel for the defendant no. 3 submits that he has filed the written statement, vide diary no. 8666538/2025 but the same is not showing in the official noting, **he is directed to contact the registry to take steps to bring the written statement on record.**

No written statement has been filed by defendant no. 1 till date and the prescribed time period to file the written statement has already expired, therefore the right of defendant no. 1 to file the written statement stands closed.



The defendant no. 4 & 5 served on 05.08.2025 and till date no written statement has been filed by them, their prescribed time period to file the written statement has yet not expired, upon filing of written statement, if any replication be filed in accordance with law.

Both the parties submitted that the matter is pending in mediation between plaintiff and defendant no. 2 & 3 for 16.12.2025.

List the matter for settlement, if any otherwise for further proceedings on **11.03.2026**.

IA No. 12133/2025 (u/o XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 r/w Section 151 CPC)

Reply filed by the defendant no. 2 is under objection, its objections be removed as per law. Rejoinder, if any be filed as per law.

IA No. 27116/2025 (By defendant no. 2 u/s 5 of the Indian Limitation Act for seeking condonation of delay of 28 days in filing the written statement)

Reply filed by the plaintiff is under objection, however, application argued, in view of the submissions made in the application, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the written statement is condoned. **The defendant no. 2 is directed to take steps to bring his written statement on record and registry is also requested to do the needful.**

Replication, if any be also filed in accordance with law.

**SUDHIR KUMAR SIROHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

DECEMBER 3, 2025/jr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)