



\$~11

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 3360/2025 & CRL.M.A. 14791/2025

MOHD NADIM & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Tejaswini Verma, Ms.
Priya Rathi & Mr. Anish
Yadav, Advs. with
Petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr.Naresh Kumar Chahar,
APP for the State
ASI Raved Mal Meena &
SI UNFAIG, PS- Jamia
Nagar
Complainant in person
Mr. Aviram, Adv. for R2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

08.10.2025

1. The complainant, on being asked, states that the allegations made against the Father-In-Law in regard to commission of rape were not false.
2. In the opinion of this Court, serious allegations as made against the Father-In-Law, ought not to be put to rest only because the victim and her husband have decided to put quietus to the dispute.
3. The accused who is facing serious allegations should be punished if proved guilty after the trial.
4. At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks time to address arguments on merits stating that the FIR even



otherwise deserves to be quashed as no case is made out against the petitioners.

5. List on 03.12.2025.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

OCTOBER 8, 2025

“SS”