



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 773/2022 & CM APPL. 34282/2022**

K K KAPOOR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kamal Bansal, Advocate.

versus

M/S. SR ASHOK AND ASSOCIATES

PVT LTD & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghunandan Sharma, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

07.11.2025

%

1. The impugned order covers multiple applications. One application is by which the petitioner/plaintiff sought to amend the memo of parties, which application was dismissed. One application is by which the petitioner/plaintiff sought taking off the Written Statement from record, which application also was dismissed. One application is for taking the Written Statement with affidavit of admission/denial on record, which application was allowed. One application was for taking on record the Statement of Truth, which application was allowed.

2. It is contended on behalf of petitioner that the memo of parties was sought to be amended simply to cure irregularity in description of plaintiff in the sense that instead of showing the proprietor of the concern as plaintiff, it is the proprietorship concern that was named as plaintiff. As regards the remaining applications, the contention on behalf of petitioner is that the



Written Statement as well as Statement of Truth and Admission/Denial affidavit were filed beyond 120 days of service of summons.

3. It being almost end of the day, arguments have to be deferred.
4. Relist on 24.03.2026 in Advance List.

IRISH KATHPALIA, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2025/ry