



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 823/2024

ROHIT LAKHANE

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Simran Bhatti, Adv.

versus

CHARUPRIYA SHARMA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Khan Ahmad Darvesh and Mr.
Rajat, Advs.

Respondent in person (through v/c)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **09.02.2026**

CM APPL.9014/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CM APPL.8697/2026 (for directions)

3. Issue notice.
4. Learned counsel, as aforesaid, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.
5. The grievance of the petitioner is that interaction with the child through video conferencing is being denied by the respondent, contrary to the directions contained in the order dated 19.09.2024, passed by learned Judge, Family Court, North-West, Rohini, Delhi.
6. It is pointed out that in the appellate proceedings, a Division Bench of this Court has interdicted only the physical interaction of the child with the petitioner, inasmuch as the child is currently residing in Mathura. However, the video interaction between the petitioner and the child has not been interfered with.



7. The respondent, in flagrant disregard of the subsisting judicial directions, is seeking to deny the same.
8. The respondent has joined the proceedings virtually. She is cautioned against violating the extant judicial directions, in terms of which the petitioner is entitled to interact with the child through video call on each Wednesday and Saturday from 05.00 PM to 06.00 PM.
9. It is made clear that any infraction of the aforesaid directions will be construed as wilful disobedience on the part of the respondent with existing judicial orders, entailing action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.
10. Let reply be filed by the respondent within a period of one week.
11. List on 16.02.2026.

SACHIN DATTA, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2026/cl