



\$~6 & 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 2033/2007**

MAHAVEER SINGHVI Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sanjoy Ghose, Sr. Advocate with
Dr. Harsh Surana, Ms. Sangeeta
Singhvi, Mr. Rudresh Kumar, Mr.
Aadil S. Boparai, Mr. Sadiq Noor &
Mr. Rohan Mandal, Advocates with
plaintiff in person.

versus

HINDUSTAN TIMES LIMITED AND OTHERS Defendants

Through: Mr. Aditya Guha, Advocate.

7

+ **CS(OS) 2034/2007**

MAHAVEER SINGHVI Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Sanjoy Ghose, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sadiq Noor and Mr. Rohan
Mandal, Advocates along with
plaintiff in person.

versus

HINDUSTAN TIMES LTD. AND ORS. Defendants

Through: Mr. Aditya Guha, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **09.01.2024**

1. Arguments have been concluded on behalf of the plaintiff.
2. In the pre-lunch session, the counsel for the defendants requested that



the matter may be taken up post-lunch for addressing the arguments as the arguing counsel is busy in other Court.

3. When the matter is taken up post-lunch, the counsel for the defendants seeks adjournment to address the arguments and requests that a short date may be given. The arguing counsel submits that he was under the impression that the arguments on behalf of the plaintiff would not be completed.

4. At request of the counsel for the defendants, re-notify on 16.01.2024 for arguments.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JANUARY 9, 2024

S.Sharma