



\$~6

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

EX.P. 54/2021

SHRI RAM CHOPRA

.....Decree Holder

Through: Ms. Priamvada Surolia, Adv.
(Mob.9654828744)
(Email: priamvadasurolia@gmail.com)

versus

SEEMA CHOPRA & ANR.

.....Judgement Debtors

Through: Mr. Siddharth Mullick, Adv. for
LRs of JD no.1 and for Mr.
Sachin Chopra
Mr. Anudatt Dubey, Adv. for one
of the LRs of JD no.1/Shweta
Chopra (daughter of JD no.1)
Ms. Sushma Das, Adv. for JD
no.2/Mrs. Nidhi Arora
Mr. Divyam Nandrajog, learned
Court Commissioner
(Mob.9711350679)
None is present on behalf of Mr.
Amit Chopra

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

29.05.2025

EX.APPL.(OS)102/2022 & EX.APPL.(OS)468/2023

1. Pleadings in these both applications are already completed. At request, re-notify on the next date of hearing.

EX.APPL.(OS)3586/2022 moved on behalf of earlier judgement debtor No. 2, Mr. Sachin Chopra for recalling the order Dated 04.03.2022

2. Pleadings are already complete qua captioned EA.

At request, re-notify the captioned EA on the next date of hearing.

EX.APPL.(OS)2815/2022 under Order VI Rule 17 moved on behalf of the decree holder seeking

**amendment in the pleading**

3. It is already stated by learned counsel for JD no.2/Mrs. Nidhi Arora that she does not wish to file any reply to the captioned EA.

4. On the last date of hearing, the last and final opportunity was granted to Mr. Sachin Chopra to bring his reply on record within two weeks and it was also directed Mr. Sachin Chopra to supply copy of reply to learned counsel for DH. The said opportunity was given subject to imposition of further cost of 3000/- in addition to earlier cost of Rs.1000/-. It is stated by learned counsel for the DH that she has received cost of Rs.1000/- but not received previous cost of Rs.3000/-. However, no steps have been taken by Mr. Sachin Chopra to bring his reply on record and he has also not paid cost of Rs.3000/- to DH. No plausible explanation is furnished by Mr. Sachin Chopra for not complying the last order. It be noted that in the captioned EA, direction for filing reply for the first time was passed on 14.05.2024. Despite the same, no steps have been taken by Mr. Sachin Chopra to bring his reply on record till date. In these circumstances, the right of Mr. Sachin Chopra to file reply is ordered to be closed and the reply stated to have been filed by Mr. Sachin Chopra is directed to be not taken on record.

5. It is already stated by learned counsel for Shweta Chopra that he does not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA.

6. The right of Mr. Amit Chopra to file reply has already been ordered to be closed. It is stated by learned



Contd.....3



-3-

counsel for the DH that she has not received any cost of Rs.1500/- from Mr. Amit Chopra till date. None is present on behalf of Mr. Amit Chopra today to explain in this regard. So, Mr. Amit Chopra shall pay cost of Rs.2000/- instead of Rs.1500/- to learned counsel for DH within three weeks.

Re-notify the captioned EA for completion of pleadings on the next date of hearing.

EX.APPL.(OS)2995/2022 under Order I Rule 10 of CPC moved on behalf of the decree holder for substitution of name of the decree holder

7. It is already stated by learned counsel for JD no.2/Mrs. Nidhi Arora that she does not wish to file any reply to the captioned EA.

8. On the last date of hearing, the last and final opportunity was granted to Mr. Sachin Chopra to bring his reply on record within two weeks and it was also directed Mr. Sachin Chopra to supply copy of reply to learned counsel for DH. The said opportunity was given subject to imposition of further cost of 3000/- in addition to earlier cost of Rs.1000/-. It is stated by learned counsel for the DH that she has received cost of Rs.1000/- but not received previous cost of Rs.3000/-. However, no steps have been taken by Mr. Sachin Chopra to bring his reply on record and he has also not paid cost of Rs.3000/- to DH. No plausible explanation is furnished by Mr. Sachin Chopra for not complying the last order. It be noted that in the captioned EA, direction for filing reply for the first time was passed on 14.05.2024. Despite the same, no steps have

Contd.....4



-4-

been taken by Mr. Sachin Chopra to bring his reply on record till date. In these circumstances, the right of Mr. Sachin Chopra to file reply is ordered to be closed and the reply stated to have been filed by Mr. Sachin Chopra is directed to be not taken on record.

9. It is already stated by learned counsel for Shweta Chopra that he does not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA.

10. The right of Mr. Amit Chopra to file reply has already been ordered to be closed. It is stated by learned counsel for the DH that she has not received any cost of Rs.1500/- from Mr. Amit Chopra till date. None is present on behalf of Mr. Amit Chopra today to explain in this regard. So, Mr. Amit Chopra shall pay cost of Rs.2000/- instead of Rs.1500/- to learned counsel for DH within three weeks.

11. At this stage, it is vehemently argued by learned counsel for Mr. Sachin Chopra that the present execution petition cannot be continued due to death of DH. However, learned counsel for the DH submits that she has already filed the captioned EA for substitution of Shri Purushottam Charitable Society in place of deceased DH. On perusal of the captioned EA, it is found that the same is duly signed by DH and the said society. At this stage, learned counsel for Mr. Sachin Chopra further argues that no proof of death of DH has been placed on record. Learned counsel for DH/society submits that as the society is not the immediate family of deceased DH, the death certificate has not been

Contd.....5



-5-

issued to it. However, she will file on record the documentary proof available with the trust regarding death of deceased DH. The same be filed within three weeks with copy to the opposite side.

Re-notify the captioned EA for consideration on the next date of hearing.

EX.APPL.(OS)3769/2022 under Section 151 CPC moved by decree holder seeking direction for payment of rent by judgement debtor no. 1

12. The pleadings qua captioned EA are already complete.

At request, re-notify the captioned EA on the next date of hearing.

EX.P. 54/2021

13. At this stage, learned counsel for the DH/Trust has handed over a cheque bearing no.000750 dated 28.05.2025 amounting to Rs.21,149/-, drawn on HDFC Bank, Defence Colony, New Delhi to learned Court Commissioner in the court today. She submits that she is representing a charitable trust. So, learned Court Commissioner may accept cheque of outstanding amount of Rs.21,149/- in place of enhanced amount of Rs.25000/-. Learned Court Commissioner present today has no objection to the same and accepts the aforesaid cheque.

14. Learned Court Commissioner submits that he has received amount of Rs.45,000/- from Mrs. Nidhi Arora/JD no.2 in terms of the last order.

15. Mr. Amit Chopra has not paid the amount of Rs.19000/- to learned Court Commissioner towards his

Contd.....6



-6-

fees and expenses till date. None is present on behalf of Mr. Amit Chopra today to explain in this regard. In this view of the matter, issue warrant of attachment of property of Mr. Amit Chopra as per law without filing of any PF.

16. At request, the matter is passed over for post lunch for payment of Rs.19000/- by Mr. Sachin Chopra to learned Court Commissioner towards his fees and expenses.

At 2.30 p.m.

At this stage, learned Court Commissioner has informed the court that he has received amount of Rs.19000/- digitally towards his fees and expenses from Mr. Sachin Chopra.

Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on 09.09.2025.

**PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 29, 2025/ab

Click here to check corrigendum, if any