



\$~9

\*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

EX.P. 54/2021

SHRI RAM CHOPRA

.....Decree Holder

Through: Ms. Priamvada Surolia & Mr.  
Shaurya Bhatnagar, Advs.  
(Mob.9654828744) (Email:  
[priamvadasurolia@gmail.com](mailto:priamvadasurolia@gmail.com))

versus

SEEMA CHOPRA & ANR.

.....Judgement Debtors

Through: Ms. Sushmita Chaudhary, Adv.  
for JD no.2/Mrs. Nidhi Arora  
(joined through VC)  
Mr. Siddharth Mullick, Adv. for  
earlier JD no.2 and now LR of  
JD no.1 i.e. Mr. Sachin Chopra  
Mr. Utsav Trivedi, Mr.  
Himanshu Sachdeva & Mr.  
Anudatt Dubey, Advs. For LR of  
JD no.1 i.e. Ms. Shweta Chopra  
Mr. Mohit Gupta, Ms. Onmichon  
Ramrar & Ms. Aditi, Advs. for  
objector/JD no.3/Amit Chopra  
Mr. Divyam Nandrajog, Ld.  
Court Commissioner in person  
(Mob.9711350679)

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA  
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

**ORDER**

**% 13.02.2025**

**EX.APPL.(OS)102/2022 & EX.APPL.(OS)468/2023**

1. Pleadings in these both applications are already  
completed.

**EX.APPL.(OS)3586/2022 moved on behalf of earlier  
judgement debtor No. 2, Mr. Sachin Chopra for  
recalling the order Dated 04.03.2022**

2. Reply has been filed on behalf of Mrs. Nidhi Arora



as well as on behalf of the decree holder but rejoinder to the same has not been filed by the applicant till date despite last & final opportunity granted on the last date of hearing. So, the right of the applicant to file rejoinder to the reply of Mrs. Nidhi Arora as well as to the reply of the DH is ordered to be closed.

3. Reply to the captioned IA has not been filed by objector namely, Sh. Amit Chopra till date despite last & final opportunity granted in the last date of hearing. Cost of Rs.2000/- has also not been paid by the objector till date. So, the right of the said objector/Amit Chopra to file reply is ordered to be closed.

4. Reply to the captioned IA has not been filed by other LR of judgement debtor No. 1 namely Ms. Shweta Chopra till date despite last & final opportunity granted in the last date of hearing. Cost of Rs.2000/- has also not been paid by said LR of JD no.1 till date. So, the right of the said LR/Ms. Shweta Chopra to file reply is ordered to be closed. **Thus, pleadings are complete now for the captioned EA.**

Re-notify the captioned EA on the next date of hearing.

**EX.APPL.(OS)2815/2022 under Order VI Rule 17 moved on behalf of the decree holder seeking amendment in the pleading**

5. It is already stated by learned counsel for JD no.2/Mrs. Nidhi Arora that she does not wish to file any reply to the captioned EA.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for Mr. Sachin Chopra has paid previous cost of Rs.2000/- to learned counsel for DH by way of cheque today in the court. He submits that



he has filed reply to the captioned IA day before yesterday. It be noted that the matter was last listed on 07.11.2024 and despite the same, reply to the captioned IA filed by Mr. Sachin Chopra only a day before yesterday. So, further cost of Rs.1000/- is imposed upon said Mr. Sachin Chopra to be paid to the DH. The said reply is also not on record. So, he is directed to take requisite steps to bring the same on record. At request, copy of reply be supplied to the DH within two day through email. Thereafter, rejoinder, if any, be filed by DH within two weeks thereafter with copy to the opposite side.

7. At this stage, it is stated by learned counsel for Shweta Chopra that he does not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA.

8. Learned counsel for Mr. Amit Chopra has paid previous cost of Rs.2000/- through cheque to learned counsel for DH in the court today. However, reply to the captioned IA has not been filed by said Mr. Amit Chopra till date. So, further cost of Rs.1500/- is imposed upon Mr. Amit Chopra to be paid to the DH and now last and final opportunity is granted to Mr. Amit Chopra to file reply within two weeks with copy to the opposite side, who is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereafter with copy to the opposite side.

Re-notify the captioned EA for completion of pleadings on the next date of hearing.

**EX.APPL.(OS)2995/2022 under Order I Rule 10 of CPC moved on behalf of the decree holder for substitution of name of the decree holder**

9. It is already stated by learned counsel for JD no.2/Mrs. Nidhi Arora that she does not wish to file any



reply to the captioned EA.

10. At this stage, learned counsel for Mr. Sachin Chopra has paid previous cost of Rs.2000/- to learned counsel for DH by way of cheque today in the court. He submits that he has filed reply to the captioned IA day before yesterday. It be noted that the matter was last listed on 07.11.2024 and despite the same, reply to the captioned IA filed by Mr. Sachin Chopra only a day before yesterday. So, further cost of Rs.1000/- is imposed upon said Mr. Sachin Chopra to be paid to the DH. The said reply is also not on record. So, he is directed to take requisite steps to bring the same on record. At request, copy of reply be supplied to the DH within two day through email. Thereafter, rejoinder, if any, be filed by DH within two weeks thereafter with copy to the opposite side.

11. At this stage, it is stated by learned counsel for Shweta Chopra that he does not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA.

12. Learned counsel for Mr. Amit Chopra has paid previous cost of Rs.2000/- in cash to learned counsel for DH in the court today. However, reply to the captioned IA has not been filed by said Mr. Amit Chopra till date. So, further cost of Rs.1500/- is imposed upon Mr. Amit Chopra to be paid to the DH and now last and final opportunity is granted to Mr. Amit Chopra to file reply within two weeks with copy to the opposite side, who is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereafter with copy to the opposite side.

Re-notify the captioned EA for completion of pleadings on the next date of hearing.



**EX.APPL.(OS)3769/2022 under Section 151 CPC**  
**moved by decree holder seeking direction for payment**  
**of rent by judgement debtor no. 1**

13. It is already stated by learned counsel for JD no.2/Mrs. Nidhi Arora that she does not wish to file any reply to the captioned EA.

14. Learned respective counsels for Mr. Sachin Chopra and Mr. Amit Chopra submit that they do not wish to file any reply to the captioned IA

15. No reply to the captioned IA has been filed by Ms. Shweta Chopra till date despite the last and final opportunity granted vide last order dated 07.11.2024. Cost has also not been paid by Ms. Shweta Chopra till date in compliance of the last order dated 07.11.2024. So, the right of Ms. Shweta Chopra to file reply is ordered to be closed.

Re-notify the captioned EA for completion of pleadings on the next date of hearing.

**EX.P. 54/2021**

16. At this stage, it is pointed out by learned Court Commissioner that despite the order dated 31.01.2024, he has not been paid his fees and expenses fully by Mr. Ram Chopra/DH and JD no.2/Mrs. Nidhi Arora. Moreover, he has not been paid anything from account of Ms. Seema Chopra towards his fees and expenses.

17. At this stage, it is submitted that Ms. Seema Chopra has since expired and is survived by her husband Sh. Ram Chopra and her children i.e. Mr. Sachin Chopra, Ms. Shweta Chopra and Mr. Amit Chopra. So, the share of Ms. Seema Chopra towards fees and expenses incurred by Ld. Court Commissioner would be paid by her four LRs mentioned above.



18. Learned Court Commissioner is directed to share the details of total outstanding payment amount with the DH, the aforesaid LRs and Ms. Nidhi Arora within one week. Thereafter, the parties are directed to pay the balance fees and expenses to learned Court Commissioner within two weeks, failing which heavy cost will be imposed upon the defaulting party.

Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on 08.05.2025.

**PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)**

**FEBRUARY 13, 2025/ab**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*