



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ EX.P. 54/2021

SHRI RAM CHOPRA

..... Decree Holder

Through: Mr. Shaurya Bhatnagar, Adv. (M:
9654828744)

versus

SEEMA CHOPRA & ANR.

..... Judgement Debtors

Through: Mr. Utsav Trivedi, Mr. Himanshu
Sachdeva, Advs. For LR's of JD1
Mr. Mahesh K Chaudhary, Adv. for
JD 2
Ms. Alka Chojar, Adv. for objector,
Sh. Amit Chopra (in person)

CORAM:

Dr. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **31.01.2024**

Cost has been paid today on behalf of the objector to the learned counsel for the Decree Holder.

As per previous Order sheet, the learned Local Commissioner submitted that his expenses and fees have not been paid by the parties but as per the parties, the fees could not be paid due to non-sharing of the expenses details by the learned Local Commissioner.

Today also, parties submitted that the learned Local Commissioner has not shared the expenses detail with them. However, learned counsel for the Judgement Debtor no. 2, appearing through VC, submitted that he had already received the expenses detail from the learned Local Commissioner and he further submitted that he will share the details of the expenses as received from the learned Local Commissioner with all the parties of the



petition through their E-mail today itself and thereafter all the parties of the petition are directed to make the payment to the learned Local Commissioner within one week in compliance of Order of the Hon'ble Court dated 15.02.2023.

EX.APPL.(OS) 467/2023(Application under Order 47 CPC and under Order 21 Rule 65, 68, 72, 82, 95, 97,101 and 103 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure for and on behalf of the objector, namely Amit Chopra, son of Shri Ram Chopra R/O J-6/111, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi)

EX.APPL.(OS) 468/2023(Application under Order XXI Rule 26 read with Section 151 CPC for stay of execution proceedings, fixed for 24.05.2023)

Reply to these applications has been filed on behalf of the Decree Holder and copy has been received by the objector and as per learned counsel for objector, she has already filed the rejoinder but same is not on record. As per learned counsel for objector, her rejoinder is not being taken on record due to the non-payment of the cost.

However, the cost has been paid today. If there is objection only on non-payment of the cost, then rejoinder may be taken on record. However, if there are any other objections, then necessary steps may be taken on behalf of the objector to place the same on record.

Put up for completion of pleadings in both the captioned IAs on 12.03.2024.

**Dr. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 31, 2024/sms