



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 10357/2021**
SH GUVIR INDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Sh. K.G. Seth, Adv.

versus

MULLEN LOWE LINTAS GROUP & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Sh. Sushil Kumar Dubey, Adv. for
R-3 & 5.
Ms. Jasmine Damkewala, Adv. for
Respdt No.1&2/Lintas India.
Ms. Archana Gaur, Adv. for UOI/R-4.

CORAM:
SHRI SUNIL KUMAR KOUSHAL, REGISTRAR

ORDER
% **05.08.2022**

Counter affidavits of respondents No.3, 4 & 5 only have been filed.
Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks.

Counter affidavit by respondent No.1 & 2 be filed within four weeks
with an advance copy to learned counsel for the petitioner, who may file
rejoinder thereto, if any, within four weeks thereafter.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 Lintas India submits that title of
respondent No.2 is not correct and it should be Lintas India Pvt. Ltd. and its
address is also not correctly mentioned. She further submits that as per their
understanding, respondent No.1 Parinee Group does not exist. Let counsel



for the petitioner take appropriate steps in this regard and amend the memo of parties accordingly. Remaining respondents to file counter affidavit within four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter.

List the matter on **30.11.2022**.

REGISTRAR

AUGUST 5, 2022/KCT