



\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 9926/2023 & CM APPL. 38211/2023,**
CM APPL. 51718/2023
UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERSPetitioner
Through: Appearance not given

versus

TITU RAM AND 39 OTHERSRespondent
Through: Mr. Siddhartha Sinha, Mr. Niring
Chamwibo Zeliang and Ms. Anu
Priya Nisha Minz for respondent nos.
1,2, 3 to 5, 9,12,16,19,20,23, 24, 27,
28, 32 and 35, Mr. Sushil Kumar
Advocate for R-7, 13, 18 & 22, Mr.
Ajit Kakkar, Mr. Tejas Bhonge and
Shruti Singh, Advocates for
respondent no. 40

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **28.04.2026**

1. While Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the amount as directed on 16.03.2026 has been deposited, however, learned counsel for the respondent states that order has not been fully complied with, in as much as the interest remains to be deposited, and further the differential amounts of wages need to be deposited on monthly basis.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to take instructions on the said aspect.
3. Mr. *Tejas Bhonge*, Advocate, submits that he is no longer appearing for the LRs of respondent no. 40, who had expired in November, 2025, and he further submits that he would provide the necessary details of the LRs to



the petitioner to take necessary steps.

4. Renotify on 05.08.2026.
5. In the meantime, the respondents who have not filed the counter affidavit would be also at liberty to file the same.
6. Rejoinder, if any, be also filed thereafter.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 28, 2026

sn