



\$~5

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(OS) 295/2025 & I.A. 32182/2025

NEETU MEHRA

....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Ravi Krishan Chandana, Mr.
Udbhav K. Garg, Advs.

versus

KRISHAN PARSHAD BROTHERS PVT LTD & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Shahzeb Ahmed, Adv. for D-1
(Email:
shahzeb.ahmed@acechambers.on)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. GAGANDEEP
JINDAL (DHJS)**

ORDER

19.03.2026

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**I.A.No.31129/2025 (u/O VIII Rule 1 CPC for
condonation of delay of 51 days in filing written
statement)**

1. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that reply has been filed vide diary no. 77332/2006. The same has not come on record yet.
2. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 submits that he does not wish to file rejoinder.
3. Pleadings are complete.

**I.A.No. 32182/2025 (by D-1 u/O VII Rule 11 CPC for
rejection of plaint of plaintiff)**

4. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff seeks four weeks time to file reply. Opposed by Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1.
5. One last opportunity is granted to the plaintiff to file reply within four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.



6. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that Mr. Bhanu Mehra is present in the court in person but the defendants are concealing their service in this case and have chosen not to appear before the court.
7. When Mr. Bhanu Mehra by his name is asked to come forward, none has come forward. However, when Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff pointed out towards the person sitting the court and apprise the court that he is Mr. Bhanu Mehra. Only then Mr. Bhanu Mehra has come forward and submits that today a suit for declaration is listed in this court, therefore he has come in the court. But he is denying the service of summons of the present suit. He further submits that he was present in the court on last date of hearing as well and therefore he has come today in the court. He further submits that he is resided at D-1/6, Ground floor of DLX City, Phase-I, Gurgaon.
8. The same address has been mentioned in the memo of parties. However, the summons sent to defendant no. 3.1 i.e. Mr. Bhanu Mehra at the said address, remained unserved and received back with report dated 21.06.2025 "left".
9. These facts and circumstances, clearly shows that defendant no. 3.1 Mr. Bhanu Mehra has manipulated the service of summons. As per his own submission he is appearing in the court and observing the proceedings. Therefore, it is deemed that he has been duly served on 21.06.2025. The period of 120 days to file written statement on behalf of defendant no. 3.1 has already lapsed. Therefore, right of defendant no.3.1 Mr. Bhanu



Mehra to file written statement stands closed.

10. Defendant no. 5.1 has also not filed the written statement despite service on 19.05.2025. Therefore, right of defendant no. 5.1 to file written statement has also closed.
11. As per office noting, the service report of the defendants is awaited.
12. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 4.2 submits that vakalatnama has been filed but it has not come on record yet.
13. Defendant no. 5.3 has put appearance through Ms. Anjum, Advocate. Let the vakalatnama be filed on behalf of defendant no. 5.3 within a week.
14. Plaintiff is directed to file the affidavit for service of the defendants
15. All other remaining defendants may file written statement and affidavit for admission/denial of documents within stipulated time as per law.
16. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on **6th May, 2026.**

GAGANDEEP JINDAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
MARCH 19, 2026/PU

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)