



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9835/2023 & CM APPL. 37829/2023**

TANMOY BHATTACHARYYA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Adv.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Ruchir Mishra, SPC with Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Saxena, Mr. Mukesh Kumar Tiwari, Ms. Poonam Shukla and Ms. Reba Jena Mishra, Advs.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER

% **02.12.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case of ARCI, Hyderabad, a clarification was sought with regard to deduction of pensionary benefits of absorptionist and the clarification was that no deduction is to be made.
2. A clear stand of Union of India shall be important for proper adjudication of dispute involved in the present petition.
3. In spite, of two passovers, Union of India remained unrepresented despite service and filing of reply.
4. Let learned counsel for Union of India apprise this court with regard to the stand on the clarification received in case of ARCI, Hyderabad.
5. Put up on 16.04.2026.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

DECEMBER 2, 2025/Pa