



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 440/2023**
PREETI MEHRAPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Karan Balraj Mehta, Adv.

versus

SUROMA BIPIN DAMANIDefendant
Through: Mr. Jatin Sehgal, Adv.

CORAM:

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **12.03.2025**

I.A. 1057/2025 (by defendant for condonation of delay of 3 days in filing reply to IA 8891/24), I.A. 1058/2025 (by defendant for condonation of delay of 3 days in filing reply to IA 9445/24), I.A. 1059/2025 (by defendant for condonation of delay of 54 days in filing reply to IA 43497/24) & I.A. 1060/2025 (by defendant for condonation of delay of 27 days in filing reply to IA 44795/24)

1. No reply has been filed. Learned counsel for plaintiff submitted that he does not want to file reply and the delay may be condoned, but subject to appropriate cost.
2. Learned counsel for defendant submitted that the application may be placed before the Hon'ble Court. However, learned counsel for plaintiff submitted that the applications may be decided here.
3. Hence, arguments heard and I have considered the submissions of the parties.
4. Copy of the replies in the I.A. 8891/2024, 9445/2024, 43497/2024 &



44795/2024 has already been received by learned counsel for plaintiff and he has already raised no objection to get the replies placed on record.

5. Hence, in view of the facts and circumstance, the replies in all the aforesaid IAs be taken on record and delay in filing the replies stands condoned, subject to total cost of Rs. 10,000/- to be given to opposite party.

The captioned IAs accordingly allowed and disposed of.

I.A. 46881/2024 (by defendant u/S 151 CPC for modification in order dated 08.11.2024)

6. Reply has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff. Learned counsel for defendant had already received the reply but raised objection that reply had been filed with a delay. However, Registry had not raised the objection of delay. At this learned counsel for defendant submitted that he will make the appropriate submission before the Hon'ble Court.

I.A. 9445/2024 (by plaintiff u/O 11 R 12 & 14 CPC)

7. Reply has been filed. No rejoinder is to be filed. Pleadings are complete.

I.A. 8891/2024 (by plaintiff u/O 12 R 6 CPC)

8. Pleadings are complete.

I.A. 43497/2024 (by plaintiff for passing of preliminary decree)

9. Reply is taken on record. Learned counsel for plaintiff submitted that he will file the rejoinder, if any before the next date of hearing or will straight away argue.

I.A. 44795/2024 (by plaintiff u/O 11 R 12 & 14 CPC for discovery of documents from defendant)

10. Pleadings are complete.

CS(OS) 440/2023



11. Joint document schedule not filed. As per learned counsel for plaintiff he had already submitted joint document schedule to the learned opposite counsel on 10.02.2025 but same has been returned by learned opposite counsel on last night with some objections.

12. Put up for completion of process of filing of Joint Document Schedule in terms of **Rule 7A of Chapter VII of The Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018** physically by plaintiff after getting it signed from defendants, admission / denial of documents and marking of Exhibits in accordance with law.

Documents, if e-filed be filed physically by parties so as to mark the Exhibits.

13. At joint request, put up before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date already fixed, i.e. 02.04.2025.

DR. JAGMINDER SINGH (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 12, 2025/NG

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)