



\$~16

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(OS) 336/2019

RENU CHADHA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Varun Gupta, Adv. (Email ID: varungutpa.law@gmail.com and Mob. No. 9811288122).

versus

AGYAWANTI ANAND & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: D-1 is stated to have expired.

Ms. Megha Saxena with Ms. Shreya Bhatnagar and Mr. Shaurya Dahiya, Advs. for D-2 & 3 (Email address : saxsonslawco@gmail.com)

Ms. Shrey Chathly, Adv. for D-4 (Mob. No. 9910211045).

Mr. S.K. Bhaduri with Ms. Neetu Gupta, Ms. Shreyangana Bag and Ms. Rimpay Rohilla, Advs. for D-5.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)

ORDER

%

01.05.2025

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

I.A. No. 9052/2019 (by plaintiff for stay)

1. Pleadings qua the captioned IA are already complete.

CS(OS) 336/2019

2. As per office noting, fresh vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of plaintiff vide diary no. 1745606/2025.

3. Perusal of record shows that soft copy of Joint Document

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/05/2025 at 14:22:07

Schedule was already filed on behalf of plaintiff vide



diary no.3599003/ 2024. Hard copy of the same was also filed on behalf of the parties.

4. The present matter is listed today for admission/denial qua additional documents of defendant no.4.
5. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff and Ld. Counsel for defendant no.4 have submitted that additional documents filed by defendant no.4 have been denied by the plaintiff in her affidavit of admission/denial of documents filed vide diary no.161116/2024 and status of the said documents have already been mentioned in the Joint Document Schedule filed vide diary no.3599003/2024.
6. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff and Ld. Counsel for defendants no.1 to 3 have submitted that part admission/denial of documents was conducted on 18.02.2020 and admission/denial of documents was concluded on 13.03.2020. They have further submitted that on 13.03.2020, 11 documents of the defendants were exhibited as Ex.D-1 to Ex.D-11, however, there is no marking of exhibit on document no.11 i.e. written statement filed on behalf of defendants no.2 and 3 in Civ DJ No. 895/18 as per list of documents filed by defendants no.1 to 3 and the same should be marked as Ex.D-10. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff as well as Ld. Counsel for defendants no.1 to 3 have submitted that at the time of admission/denial conducted on 13.03.2020, the plaintiff had only admitted existence of the said document no.11 and contents of the same were denied and endorsement of 'Ex.D-10' may be mentioned on the said document.

This is a digitally signed order. 7. Heard. Perusal of Joint Document Schedule filed on behalf of parties would show that document no.11 of the

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the Delhi High Court Order Portal.



documents of defendants no.1 to 3 i.e. written statement filed on behalf of defendants no.2 and 3 in Civ DJ No. 895/18, has been mentioned at sr. no.18 in the same and response of plaintiff qua the said document is mentioned as ‘document admitted contents denied’. In the present facts and in view of submissions made on behalf of the parties, endorsement in this regard is made on the said document and the same is being exhibited as Ex.D-10.

8. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that due to some inadvertent and typographical mistake, documents at sr. no.13 and 14 in Joint Document Schedule are mentioned as denied on behalf of the plaintiff, however, both the said documents were admitted by the plaintiff in her affidavit of admission/denial dated 26.02.2020 filed vide diary no.310112/2020 and same were already exhibited as Ex.D-5 and Ex.D-6 on 13.03.2020. Ld. Counsel for defendants no.1 to 3 also admitted the above facts.
9. Heard. In view of the above submissions, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff is permitted to make correction accordingly in his response qua documents at sr. no.13 and 14 in Joint Document Schedule. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has made correction in response qua the aforesaid documents by writing ‘admitted’ instead of ‘denied’ in Joint Document Schedule under his signature. Registry is directed to scan the said corrected copy of Joint Document Schedule and place the same in soft copy of the judicial record.
10. Entries of exhibits have been marked in Joint Document Schedule accordingly.

11. Admission/denial of documents stands concluded.

12. Ld. Counsel for both parties have submitted that the



matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court where they would make their further submissions.

13. At joint request, let the matter be placed before Hon'ble Court for further directions on **27th May, 2025**.

DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 1, 2025/v

Click here to check corrigendum, if any

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/05/2025 at 14:32:07