



\$~18

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 400/2024, I.A. 11018/2024, I.A. 46295/2024

MANAK CHAND TYAGI

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Raghav Awasthi, Mr. Mukesh Sharma,
Mr. Dinesh Sharma, Advs.

versus

VIJAY LAXMI TYAGI & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Mr. Gaurav Rathore,
Advs. for D-3
Ms. Ashima Chopra for Ms. Aakanksha
Kaul, Advs.(DHCLS) for D-5 and D-6

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS)

ORDER

%

27.03.2025

Matter is taken up through physical hearing as well as video conferencing.

Perusal of PDF record reveals that Written Statements of defendant no.3 and defendant no.5 and 6 are on record and Replication to the said Written Statements is also on record. **Pleadings are complete qua defendant no.3,5 and 6.**

Perusal of file noting reveals that Written Statements filed by defendant no. 1, 2 and 4 are lying under objections since 01.10.2024 and they have neither filed any application for condonation of delay nor removed any objections till date.

CS(OS) 400/2024

Page 1 of 2



Keeping in view the abovestated facts and circumstances, it seems that defendants no.1,2 and 4 are intentionally delaying the case by not filing any application for condonation of delay. **Hence right of defendants no.1,2 and 4 to file Written Statement stands closed.** The said Written Statements as well as Replication if any filed to the said Written Statements be taken off the record.

I.A. 11018/2024 (Application u/O XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 CPC filed by plaintiff)

Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3 has stated that he had filed reply to this I.A. alongwith Written Statement in the same index. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.5 and 6 has stated that she does not wish to file Reply. Reply has not been filed by defendants no.1,2 and 4 despite grant of sufficient opportunity. Hence, **right of defendants no.1,2 and 4 to file Reply stands closed.**

I.A. 46295/2024 (Application u/O VII Rule 14 CPC filed by plaintiff)

Reply filed by defendant no.3 is lying under objection. Objection be removed within two days so that it comes on record. Copy supplied. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.5 and 6 has stated that she does not wish to file Reply. Reply has not been filed by defendants no.1,2 and 4 despite grant of sufficient opportunity. Hence, **right of defendants no.1,2 and 4 to file Reply stands closed.**

Matter is already coming up before Hon'ble Court on 01.04.2025 for further directions in the IAs.

**TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MARCH 27, 2025/sm