



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11189/2022**

AMRESH & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Pradeep Kumar Yadav and Mr.
Vishal Thakre, Advs.

versus

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. LAKHOTIA PACKWELL, & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SHARMA

ORDER

% **03.11.2022**

CM APPLs. 32887-88/2022 (exemptions)

Exemptions are allowed subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 11189/2022

The present petition has been filed challenging the impugned award dated 21st August, 2021 passed in LIR No. 532/2014 (old) , 5192/2016 (new) by the learned Presiding Officer, Labour Court-06, Rouse Avenue District Court, New Delhi.

The learned Labour Court has *inter alia* held that the petitioner-workman has failed to prove that there exists any employer-employee relationship between them and the respondent-management. It was therefore held that since the petitioners-workmen has failed to prove the same, it cannot be held that their services were terminated by the management illegally or unjustifiably under the provision of Section 25 F of the Industrial



Disputes Act, 1947.

During the course of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has invited attention to the various communications received by the petitioner on the address of the respondent-management. Attention has also been invited to the attendance register which is annexed as annexure A-3, however the same is not legible.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks time to file additional documents as well as legible copy of the attendance sheet.

List on 23rd February, 2023.

DINESH KUMAR SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 3, 2022

Pallavi