



\$~91

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 204/2023**

**ANIL KUMAR**

.....Petitioner

Through: **Dr. M.K. Gahlaut, Advocate.**

versus

**SMT PUSHPA DEVI (DECEASED) THROUGH LRS**

.....Respondent

Through:

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI**

**ORDER**

%

**21.07.2025**

**CM APPL. 33662/2025**

**CM APPL. 34641/2025**

**CM APPL. 34642/2025**

Re-notify on 08<sup>th</sup> October 2025.

**RC.REV. 204/2023**

Dr. M.K. Gahlaut, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that bailiff is likely to be appointed in the present matter today. Counsel submits, that as per the view taken by the Co-ordinate Bench *vide* order dated 03.11.2023 in RC.REV. 104/2021, once possession of the premises is taken back in execution proceedings, the revision petition becomes infructuous.



Upon being queried, he submits the petitioner has been in use and occupation of the subject premises since 1992 at a rent of Rs.1000/- per month.

The proposition canvassed by Dr. Gahlaut will be considered on the next date.

Re-notify on 08<sup>th</sup> October 2025.

**ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J**

**JULY 21, 2025/ak**