



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9791/2023**

DAYA NAND AND ORS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vinay Mudgal and Ms. Farheen
Intakhab, Advocates

versus

DIVYA JYOTI JAGARATI SANSTHAN AND ORSRespondent

Through: Mr. Rahul Kumar Jain, Advocate for
R-1
Ms.Sachin Nawani, Advocate for
DDA
Mr.Tushar Sannu and Ms.Shaoni Das,
Advocates for R-3 & 4
Mr.Pardeep Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: SH. PAWAN KUMAR KALRA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

12.09.2025

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through hybrid mode.

**CM APPL. 25235/2025 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC qua petitioner no.4) & CM
APPL. 25236/2025 (condonation of delay)**

Counsel for respondent no.3 had already stated that he has no objection to the applications being allowed.

As per office report, respondent nos. 1, 4 & 5 have been served. Let reply, if any, be filed within four weeks with advance copy to counsel for the applicant. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter.

As per office report, notice issued to respondent no.2 has been received back unserved with the report "door closed"

On applicant filing process fee within two weeks, let notice of the applications be issued to respondent no.2 by all permissible modes, returnable on 31.10.2025. Dasti as well. It be indicated in the notice that reply, if any, be



filed within four weeks of service.

W.P.(C) 9791/2023

Amended memo of parties has not been filed in terms of order dated 08.02.2024. Let the same be filed before the next date of hearing.

Counter affidavit has not been filed by respondent nos. 1 & 2. Accordingly, right of respondent nos. 1 & 2 to file the counter affidavit is hereby closed.

Counter affidavit stands filed by respondent no.4, which is stated to have been adopted by respondent no.3 also. Let rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing.

The matter is already listed before the Hon'ble Court on 18.09.2025. List accordingly.

REGISTRAR

SEPTEMBER 12, 2025/sk