



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CS(COMM) 440/2025, I.A. 11692/2025, I.A. 11693/2025 & I.A. 11694/2025

MONTBLANC-SIMPLO GMBHPlaintiff
Through: Mr. Pravin Anand and Mr. Devesh
Ratan, Advocates.
versus

MS. JASMIN CHANDRAKANT VORA & ORS.Defendants
Through: Appearance not given.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

% **ORDER**
28.01.2026

1. Learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff seeks and is granted two weeks' time to file application seeking summary judgment.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the defendants submits that the defendants have filed an affidavit of compliance, according to which the defendants had sold only 68 products amounting to approximately Rs.81,600/- and further states that the defendants have only 32 infringing products left in their possession, which according to them approximately costs Rs.10,000/-. Learned counsel for the defendants submits under instructions that the defendants are also willing to destroy the remaining 32 infringing products in their possession or hand it over to learned counsel for the plaintiff.
3. Learned counsel for the defendants also submits that so far as claim on damages is concerned, though the defendants are willing to make a deposit of Rs.50,000/-, however, the plaintiff had asked for a huge sum, which they are unable to pay. On this aspect, learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks time to take



instructions.

4. The infringing products shall be produced before the Court on the next date of hearing.

5. The defendants may also consider disclosing the source from where such infringing products were obtained and disclose it to the Court on the next date of hearing.

6. List on 23.03.2026.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

JANUARY 28, 2026

kct