



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CS(OS) 819/2007
NAGENDRA VASHISHTPlaintiff

Through: Plaintiff in person.

Versus

BHAGWAT SAWROOP SHARMA & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Parvez Bashista & Ms. Neha Kumari, Advs. for LR's of D-2.
Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Adv. for LR(d) of D-4/Ms. Vinita Sharma. *D-6 stated to have expired.*
Mr. Shashank Singh, Adv. for son of D-6/Mr. Ashok Sharma (joined through VC).
Son of D-6/Mr. Ashok Sharma in person.
Mr. Atul Varma, Adv. for D-8.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PREETI PAREWA, (DHJS)

ORDER

%

19.05.2026

I.A.No.5644/2017 (under Order I Rule 10 CPC filed on behalf of the plaintiff seeking deletion of defendant nos.4 to 7 & 11 to 13 from the array of parties)

1. **Pleadings qua defendant no.1 to 3 & 7 are already complete.**
2. Learned respective counsels for the LR's of D-2, LR's of defendant no.4 & 8, D-9,10 and 11 to 13 have already submitted that they do not want to file any reply to the captioned IA.
3. Vide order dated 24.01.2024, the right of defendant no.6 to file reply was directed to be closed. Further, the order dated 24.01.2024 records that the suit already stands abated against defendant no.5.



4. The written synopsis filed on behalf of the plaintiff is already on record.
5. As per office note, fresh vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of Mr. Sunil Sharma, son of deceased defendant no. 6; and Mediation Report dated 23.03.2026 has been received with report 'not settled'.
6. It is submitted by Mr. Ashok Sharma, son of deceased defendant no. 6 that the defendant no. 6 had expired on 18.11.2019.
7. Plaintiff submits that an application for substitution of the LRs of deceased defendant no. 6 has been filed yesterday vide diary no. E-224158/2026. However, the same is not yet reflected on record. Let the needful be done to bring the same on record, before the next date of hearing.
8. Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on 10.09.2026.

**PREETI PAREWA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 19, 2026/bs