

\$~2

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 819/2007**

**NAGENDRA VASHISHT** ..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Shrikrishan, Porxy counsel.

versus

**BHAGWAT SAWROOP SHARMA & ORS.** ..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Rajiv Mishra & Ms. Shruti  
Sharma, Advocates for Defendant  
Nos. 1, 2, 3 & 7.  
Ms. Subhadra Dev, Advocate for  
defendant no.5  
Ms. Vanita sha, Advocate for  
defendant no. 9 & 10.  
Defendant no. 11 to 13 were  
proceeded ex-parte

**CORAM:**

**DEEPALI SHARMA (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**

% **10.01.2018**

**I.A. No.5644/2017 (u/o) 1 rule (10) CPC filed by the plaintiff seeking deletion of defendant no.4,5,6,7 & 11 to 13.**

Service report indicates that defendant no. 4(A), 4(C), 8, 9 & 10 stand served by ordinary mode.

Defendant no. 6 is present in person and states that she does not wish to file reply to the above application.

Defendant no. 11 to 13 are unserved as the address of defendant no. 11 to 13 is not complete. Counsel for the plaintiff states that he shall take

requisite steps in this regard. Office report indicates that service report is awaited qua defendant 4B and 4D and defendant no.5.

Let the plaintiff takes steps for service upon the unserved defendants for the next date of hearing.

Let replies be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereafter.

Re-notify for consider of the above I.A. on 20.03.2018.

**DEEPALI SHARMA (DHJS)  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**JANUARY 10, 2018/bh**