



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 388/2024, I.A. 45081/2024**

DR. REDDYS LABORATORIES LIMITEDPlaintiff

Through: Mr. Ranjan Narula, Mr. Shakti
Priyam Nair and Mr. Parth Bajaj,
Advocates.

versus

ZEELAB PHARMACY PVT LTDDefendant

Through: Mr. Rohit Bohra, Ms. Nandana
Menon, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **09.07.2025**

CS(COMM) 388/2024

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the parties submit that mediation before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre (**DHCMCC**) has failed.

2. A perusal of the record reveals that the learned Joint Registrar (Judicial), *vide* order dated 11.02.2025 had allowed the written statement of the defendant to be taken on record subject to payment of cost of ₹50,000/- within a period of three weeks, failing which the said written statement shall be deemed to have been taken off the record.

3. Admittedly, the defendant has failed to comply with the aforesaid directions issued by the learned Joint Registrar (Judicial), *vide* order dated 11.02.2025 *qua* payment of cost. Thus, the right of the defendant to bring on record its written statement is closed.

4. List on 14.08.2025



O.A. 40/2025 *(filed by plaintiff against order dated 11.02.2025)*

5. Considering that the right of the defendant to file the written statement has been closed in the captioned suit, learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks to withdraw the present OA.

6. Accordingly, the present OA stands dismissed as withdrawn.

I.A. 44144/2024 *(by plaintiff under Order 39 Rule 2A CPC)*

7. Learned counsel for the defendant submits that an affidavit of compliance, in response to order dated 06.03.2025, has been filed *vide* Diary No. 2634828, and the same may be treated as a reply to this application.

8. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that he does not wish to file any rejoinder thereto.

9. Accordingly, renotify on 14.08.2025.

I.A.10134/2025 *(filed by plaintiff for discovery and production of documents)*

10. Learned counsel for the defendant submits that although a reply to the present application has since been filed, however, the same is not on record.

11. Therefore, in the interest of justice, one last opportunity is given to learned counsel for the defendant for bringing the same on record subject to removal of office objections, if any, within a period of one week.

12. Accordingly, renotify on 14.08.2025

I.A. 10939/2024 *(for stay)*

13. A perusal of the record reveals that a response to the present application on behalf of the defendant is still awaited. The said right to file the reply of the defendant is closed.



14. Accordingly, renotify on 14.08.2025.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JULY 9, 2025/ PB