



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 427/2022**
BHARAT SINGH

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Abhimanyu A.
Waliya, Mr. Aryan Malik
and Mr. Kamakshraj
Singh, Advocates.

versus

KARAN SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Piyush Tiwari,
Advocate for D1.
Ms. Chand Chopra and
Mr. Shivam Bansal,
Advocates for D2.
None for D3.
Mr. Akhil Sachar and Ms.
Shweta Pattnaik,
Advocates for D4.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEEPAK
DABAS (DHJS)

ORDER
24.09.2025

%

IA No. 11530/2022 filed by plaintiff u/O XXXIX Rule
1&2 CPC.

1. Reply of defendant no. 1 is already on record.
2. Counsel for defendant no. 2 submits that no written reply is to be filed and she has no objection if captioned IA is allowed.
3. Defendant no. 3 has not filed reply qua captioned IA till date. Hence, right of defendant no. 3 to file reply qua captioned IA stands closed.



4. Counsel for defendant no. 4 submits that written statement filed on behalf of defendant no. 4 be read as reply to captioned IA.
5. Counsel for plaintiff submits that no rejoinder is to be filed qua reply filed by defendant no. 1.
6. Pleadings qua captioned IA are complete.

IA No. 6957/2025 filed by plaintiff for condonation of delay in filing replication to written statement of defendant no.1.

1. Counsel for defendant no. 1 submits that no written reply is to be filed qua captioned IA and he has no objection if captioned IA is allowed.

IA No. 6958/2025 filed by plaintiff for condonation of delay in filing replication to written statement of defendant no. 4.

1. Counsel for defendant no. 4 also submits that no written reply is to be filed qua captioned IA and she has no objection if captioned IA is allowed.
2. Matter be put up before Hon'ble court on date already fixed i.e. 09.10.2025 for further directions.

**DEEPAK DABAS (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

SEPTEMBER 24, 2025/anj