



\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 329/2019

ANKIT GUPTA & ORS.

..... Plaintiffs

Through: Mr.Jagdeep Sharma, Adv. (through
VC)

versus

VINEET JAIN & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr.Vishal Maan, Adv. for D-3 to 10
(through VC)

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. PURSHOTAM PATHAK
(DHJS)**

ORDER

% **24.03.2021**

I.A. No. 7207/2020 under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC

On the last date of hearing, submissions were heard on behalf of plaintiff and defendants no.3 to 10 on the present application and the matter was listed for orders. However, while going through the order-sheets, it has been noted that defendant no.1 has not filed any reply to the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC. Even none has appeared on behalf of defendant no.1 today for clarification. Last opportunity is granted to defendant no.1 to file reply within two weeks with advance copy to opposite party.

Put up for reply of defendant no.1 on next date of hearing.

CS(OS) 329/2019

Replication to written statement of LR's of defendant no.4 be



filed as per rules with advance copy to opposite party.

No written statement filed by defendant no.10. Let the same be filed as per rules with advance copy to opposite party.

Put up for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on 14.04.2021.

PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS), J

(At this stage) at 12:00 noon, Mr.G.S. Tiwari, learned counsel for defendant no.1 has appeared and has been apprised about the aforesaid order and next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for defendant no.1 submits that he will file reply to application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC today itself. Let copy of application be supplied to opposite party.

Put up for arguments on 14.04.2021.

PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS), J

MARCH 24, 2021/tp

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)