



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 623/2025**

ANGEL GUPTA

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Joshini Tuli, Mr. Joginder Tuli,
Ms. Taniya Qureshi, Ms. Sanskruti
Tiwari, Ms. Sneha Gupta, Ms.
Deepanshi Saini and Ms. Komal Jha,
Advts.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri, APP
SI Preeti.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

% **12.01.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CRL.M. (BAIL) 61/2026

2. This is an application filed by the Appellant seeking extension of interim bail granted *vide* order dated 14th November, 2025, which was further extended on 11th December, 2025 and then again on 26th December, 2025.

3. In accordance with the order dated 26th December 2025, the Appellant was to surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent yesterday *i.e.*, on 11th January, 2026. However, an application has now been filed on behalf of the Appellant seeking further extension of the bail. The order dated 26th December, 2025 is relevant and is extracted below:

“3. The present application has been filed seeking extension of interim suspension.



4. Ms. Joshini Tuli, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of appellant submits that the appellant/applicant was granted interim suspension of sentence and resultant bail for a period of four weeks vide order dated 14.11.2025. The said period was subsequently extended for another 10 days vide order dated 11.12.2025.

5. She submits that the interim suspension was granted on the ground that the mother of the appellant/applicant had undergone surgery. She invites attention of the Court to Annexure D annexed to the application which is a prescription of doctor whereby the mother of petitioner has been further advised to 2 to 3 weeks time for complete healing of VVF (Vesico VaginaFistula).

6. She submits that the appellant/applicant is the only female member of the family who can take care of the mother who is being treated for a gynaecological issue.

7. On instructions, she submits that the appellant/applicant shall not seek any further extension if she is granted further extension of 16 days. The statement is taken on record and the appellant shall remain bound by the same.

8. In view of the above, the interim bail granted to the appellant/applicant vide order dated 14.11.2025 and subsequently extended vide order dated 11.12.2025 is further extended for a period of 16 days on the same terms and conditions as mentioned in order dated 14.11.2025."

4. Considering the above order dated 26th December, 2025, it is clear that further extension was not to be sought on behalf of the Appellant, as had been submitted on behalf of the Id. Counsel for the Appellant. However, on the same ground, further extension of the interim bail is now being sought on behalf of the Appellant.

5. Under these circumstances, the Court is not inclined to grant a further extension of interim bail for a period of three weeks.



6. However, Id. Counsel for the Appellant undertakes that the Appellant will surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent, on or before 18th January, 2026.
7. Considering the said undertaking of the Id. Counsel for the Appellant, the interim bail granted to the Appellant is extended till 18th January, 2026, subject to the same conditions, as mentioned in order dated 14th November, 2025.
8. The Appellant shall surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent on 18th January, 2026.
9. Let a copy of this order be forwarded to the concerned Jail Superintendent for necessary information and compliance.
10. The application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

MADHU JAIN, J

JANUARY 12, 2026

kk/ss