



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4541/2026**

NAVEEN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rakesh Malhotra, Advocate
(through videoconferencing).

versus

BRIJ BHUSHAN GUPTA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Lalit Gupta, Mr. Suyash Sinha
and Ms. Shreeyam Kedia, Advocates
for R-1
Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the State

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

% **06.07.2026**

CRL.M.A. 18855/2026 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 4541/2026

2. It appears that the learned magisterial court allowed the application of the present petitioner for registration of FIR under Section 156(3) CrPC but that order was set aside by the learned Court of Sessions exercising revisional jurisdiction. The said order passed by the Court of Sessions is under challenge in these proceedings.

3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice.

4. Learned counsel for respondent no. 1 appearing on advance intimation accepts notice and submits that the petition is bad for non-joinder insofar as the magisterial order was against 7 persons, out of whom only the present



respondent no. 1 filed revision. By way of the impugned order, it is the entire magisterial order which was set aside, so status of the remaining proposed accused persons, according to learned counsel for respondent no. 1, is that they stand absolved.

5. In response, learned counsel for petitioner seeks and is allowed to file amended memo of parties, impleading the remaining proposed accused persons. The amended memo of parties be filed within one week and thereafter notice be issued to the newly added respondents, returnable on 05.11.2026 in Advance List.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

JULY 6, 2026

‘rs’